

Teaching Assistant Evaluation

Philosophy Department, Simon Fraser University

Notes for the instructor:

1. You must review this Evaluation Form and Evaluative Criteria with your TA at the beginning of the semester (ref. Art. XVIII A).
2. Whenever reasonably possible, supervisors shall bring serious or continuing problems to the attention of the TA before citing in this Evaluation Form (ref. Art. XVIII I).
3. This form is to be completed by you at the conclusion of the semester. Your assessment of the TA's teaching abilities will become part of the TA's employment record. This feedback is intended to enhance teaching performance.

SECTION A: Teaching Assistant Information:

Name of TA: Hesam Mohamadi Semester: 2021 Spring Course#: PHIL105
Course Title: Critical Thinking Instructor's name: Lyle Crawford

SECTION B: EVALUATIVE CRITERIA:

Using the evaluative criteria below, indicate whether the TA's performance:

- 1 = Meets Job Requirements- Good
- 2 = Meets Job Requirements- Satisfactory
- 3 = Does not meet job requirements – Requires some improvement
- 4 = Does not meet job requirements - Requires major improvement
- 5 = No opportunity to evaluate or criterion is not applicable.

- Preparation of Tutorial Material 1
- Meets Deadlines 1
- Attendance at Planning/Coordinating Meetings 1
- Maintains Office Hours 1
- Attendance at Lectures 1
- Grading Fair/Consistent 1
- Performance in Tutorial 1
- Quality of Feedback 5
- Quiz Preparation/Assist in Exam Preparation 5
- Instructional Content 5
- Other Job Requirements , please list if any:

SECTION C: EVALUATION COMMENTARY:

I was fortunate to have Hesam for a TA again this term. Once again, he was not only an excellent TA for our students but also very valuable to me in running the course.

We received limited responses to the TA evaluation survey that I set up at the end of the term but several of Hesam's students gave detailed and highly favourable feedback, which I was impressed but not surprised to read. He was clearly a careful, considerate, respectful, and effective TA in tutorial and office hours, as well as generous and accommodating with his communications and meetings with students.

In addition to the value of the continuity from the previous term and Hesam's increasingly familiarity and skill with the material of the course, he once again provided great assistance and contributions in our meetings and emails, to the extent that I really felt, with him and Yan, that we were functioning as a kind of teaching team.

I was sorry not to get Hesam for my final term of PHIL 105 but am sure that he'll be an asset to PHIL 110, as well as to future departments and students.

SECTION D: SUMMARY/OVERALL EVALUATION:

- Meets Job Requirements

Would you recommend this TA for reappointment?

- Yes

Instructor's Signature:  Date: 2021 May 15

SECTION E: TEACHING ASSISTANT'S COMMENTS (if any):

Teaching Assistant's Signature: Seyed Hesamodin Mohamadi Date 2021-05-17

Distribution of and retention of the Evaluation form:

1. The original copy of the Evaluation Form must be forwarded to the Department Chair on completion and included in the TA's employment file.
2. The TA must receive a copy of the Evaluation Form no later than the end of the first week of classes of the following semester.
3. The TA may make comments on the evaluation and such comments will then be added to the employment file. The TA should sign and date the form and return the form to the Department Chair as soon as possible.